(*b*) The Tahsildar inspected the markets without notice and found that there was no irregularity in the collection of fees. The lessees have also been warned by the Tahsildar that they will be prosecuted if they give room for any complaint.

Statement, by caste, of employees in the Co-operative Department.

148 *MR. THARIATHU KUNJITHOMMEN (*Muvattupuzha* cum *Devicolam*): Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table:

(*a*) a statement, by caste, of employees drawing a pay of over Rs. 20 in the Co-operative Department; and

(*b*) a statement, by caste, of persons who were appointed during the last four years and who are now working in the said department?

MR. K. MADHAVA KURUP: (*a*) and (*b*) The required statements are laid on the table.

Statement, by caste, of employees in the Anchal Department.

149. *MR. THARIATHU KUNJITHOMMEN: Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table:

(*a*) a statement, by caste, of employees drawing a pay of over Rs. 25 in the Anchal Department; and

(*b*) a statement, by caste, of appointments made in the said department during the last three years ending the 15th Mithunam 1112?

MR. K. MADHAVA KURUP: (*a*) and (*b*) The † statement is laid on the table.

Protective staff of the Forest Department.

150. *MR. K. T. THOMAS (*Tiruvalla*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether in view of the fact that royalty has been abolished in respect of teak and black-wood in tract No. 1 any reduction in the protective staff of the Forest Department has been effected; and

(*b*) if the answer to part (*a*) is in the negative, the reason therefor?

MR. K. MADHAVA KURUP: (*a*) No.

(*b*) Tract No. 1 being near the coast far away from the Forest area, the abolition of the royalty in this tract does not warrant the reduction of the protective staff.

MR. K. T. THOMAS: When was royalty abolished in tract No. 1?

MR. K. MADHAVA KURUP: In 1111.

MR. K. T. THOMAS: May I know when royalty will be abolished in tract No. 2?

MR. K. MADHAVA KURUP: By the end of 1114.

Assignment of land to backward communities.

151 *MR. K. R. NARAYANAN (*Vaikom* cum *Kottayam*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

Vide Appendix I. Page 622

† *Vide* Appendix II Page 623

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(*a*) the acreage of lands assigned in Travancore during the last five years;

(*b*) the extent assigned to the backward communities;

(*c*) whether any person from the backward communities had applied for the assignment of thirty cents of land comprised in Kayal poramboke 282/1 A in the Chempu pakuthi in the Vaikom taluk;

(*d*) whether they have made any decision in regard to the registry of this land;

(*e*) if so, the name and native place of the person to whom permission has been given for the registry;

(*f*) whether they will lay on the table copy of the decision of the Division Peishkar, Kottayam, in regard to this poramboke case; and

(*g*) whether they will lay on the table the original of the G. O. published by them regarding porambokes, dated the 20th January 1922?

MR K. GEORGE: (*a*) 18,165·84 acres (1107 to 1111 M. E.)

(*b*) 785·41 acres on concessional terms.

(*c*) The answer is in the negative.

(*d*) and (*e*) Yes. The land has been ordered to be registered in favour of Vanchi Aiyar Chathu Aiyar, Eastern Fort Gate, Thripunithura.

(*f*) A copy of the proceedings Dis. No. 927/11, dated 5-5-1111 of the Division Peishkar, Kottayam is laid on the table.

(*g*) The member is referred to page 363 of the Second supplement to Land Revenue Manual Volume II.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI (*Tiruvalla*): May I know why these lands have been ordered to be registered in favour of an outsider?

MR. K. GEORGE: He is not an outsider.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Is Thripunithura in Travancore?

MR. K. GEORGE: He may be living there; but he has got properties just behind this area.

Broadcasting Station in Trivandrum.

152. * **MR. JOSEPH VITHAYATHIL** (*Kunnatnad* cum *Parur*): Will the Government be pleased to state what is the estimated cost of starting a Broadcasting Station in Trivandrum?

MR. K. P. P. MENON (*Electrical Engineer to Government*): Bh. Rs. 2,33,390.

Judges of the High Court.

153. * **MR. JOSEPH VITHAYATHIL**: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) how many Judges have been appointed in the High Court within the last seven years;

Vide Appendix III. Page 624

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Appendix III.

***Vide* Answer to Question No. 151.**

Proceedings of the Division Peishkar, Kottayam, Puduval Appeal Case No. 5/1110.

Appellants: Kochappy Sanku of Karampallil, Chempu Muri Do Pakuthy.

(2) Kochappy Kunchu, Do. Do.

(3) Kanke Kochappy, Do. Do.

Respondent:—Vanchi Iyer Chattu Iyer, Kizhakke Kottavathukal, Thrippunithura.

Read: (1) Appeal petition dated 25-7-110 put in by the appellant.

(2) Order of the Tahsildar, Vaikom, in puduval case No. 3/10 on his file.

(3) This office order C. No. 3417/10/L. R., dated 12-3-35 to the Tahsildar, Vaikom.

(4) Report No. 7784 dated 2-9-1110 from the Tahsildar; and

(5) The records of the case.

The case relates to the registry of 30 cents of Kayal Poramboke land comprised in S. No. 282/1A of Chempu Pakuthy. As the land falls outside the three period of Vembanad Reclamation, a joint inspection was conducted by the Division Peishkar and the Executive Engineer and the registry was found unobjectionable. The appellants are Valas by caste. They applied for the registry of the land, 10 cents for each, on concessional terms. The respondent is the owner of the adjoining land S. No. 31/18. He objected to the registry of his frontage in favour of the valans and prayed that the land may be registered to him for the beneficial enjoyment of his adjoining land. The Tahsildar after taking evidence from both the parties disposed of the case on 27-6-1110 rejecting the appellants' application for registry. He also ordered the registry of the land in favour of the respondent at a tharavila of Rs. 5 per cent. The present appeal is against the above order of the Tahsildar. The appellants contend that as per a Government order of 1097 Valans are entitled to the registry of the kayal reclamations on concessional terms. This contention is based on G. O. Dis. No. 92/22, dated 20-1-1922 which directs that poramboke lands available for registry on margins of backwaters should be assigned free of tharavila to Valans and other similar poor communities of fisher folk living on sea coasts and backwater edges for obtaining lands as house sites.

ORDER THEREON DIS. No. 927/11
Kottayam, dated 5-5-1111.

Heard the Vakils for both parties and perused the records. According to Rule 21 of the Puduval Rules of 1932 the appellants are entitled as poor class Valans to some consideration in regard to registries of this nature. The respondent objects on the ground that it is his only frontage to S. No. 31/18 which he purchased. The appellants

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

have no lands of their own. As per G. O. Dis. No. 92/22, dated 20-1-1922, they are entitled to certain concessions in registries of this nature. The respondant contends that under Rule 40 (1) of 1932 Rules he is entitled to preferential claim on S. No. 282/1.

I think the appellants who are Valans and whose community have practically no lands on their own deserve sympathetic consideration and treatement. The ends of justice can be served if an extent of 20 cents of S. No. 282/1/A is granted to the appellants on concessional terms and the balance of 10 cents in the same Survey Number is given to the respondent under Rule 40 (1) of the Rules of 1932. The decision of the Tahsildar is cancelled. He will take steps for the registry of the area accordingly as per the Rules.

(Sd.) Division Peishkar.

(True copy.)

ELECTION TO THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND THE FINANCE COMMITTEES.

PRESIDENT: The election of two members each to the Public Accounts Committee and to the Standing Finance Committee, for the coming year, by the Assembly will take place on Monday the 2nd August at 5 P. M. after we adjourn for the day. The election will be by ballot.

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS—(*contd.*)

DEMAND No. VII—PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT INCLUDING CAPITAL EXPENDITURE NOT CHARGED TO REVENUE—(*contd.*)

MOTION No. 207—(*contd.*)

PRESIDENT: Mr. S. Nilakanta Pillai had just begun to speak when we adjourned last evening.

MR. S. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil* cum *Nedumangad*): During the last Assembly Mr. S. J. Nair, who was then the member for Nedumangad has brought to the notice of the Chief Engineer the need for the construction of roads such as the Chittar road, the Kallara-Aruvipuram road and the Aruvikara-Vellanad road. I take this opportunity to request the Chief Engineer to see to the construction and proper maintenance of these roads.

Coming to the Chirayinkil taluk, I have to refer to some of the incomplete roads. There is the Kilimanur-Madavoor road which has been constructed but which requires a bridge in order to be complete. Year after year, during the rainy season, traffic is being held up. Similarly, although the Kallambalam-Karettu road has been finished, due to the want of a small bridge and a big culvert, the people are not

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly